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Recent research indicates that the televised sports that U.S. boys watch most include pro basketball, pro football, pro baseball, Extreme sports, sports highlights shows, and the dramatic pseudosport of pro wrestling. Based on a textual analysis of these televised sports shows and their accompanying commercial advertisements, the authors identify 10 recurrent themes concerning gender, race, aggression, violence, militarism, and commercialism that, together, they call the Televised Sports Manhood Formula. This formula is a master ideological narrative that is well suited to discipline boys’ bodies, minds, and consumption choices in ways that construct a masculinity that is consistent with the entrenched interests of the sports/media/commercial complex. However, the authors note some discontinuities and contradictory moments within and between sports media texts and call for audience studies to explore the various ways that boys interpret, use, or negotiate the Televised Sports Manhood Formula.

A recent national survey found 8- to 17-year-old children to be avid consumers of sports media, with television most often named as the preferred medium (Amateur Athletic Foundation of Los Angeles, 1999). Although girls watch sports in great numbers, boys are markedly more likely to report that they are regular consumers of televised sports. The most popular televised sports with boys, in order, are pro football, men’s pro basketball, pro baseball, pro wrestling, men’s college basketball, college football, and Extreme sports. Although counted separately in the Amateur Athletic Foundation (AAF) study, televised sports highlights shows also were revealed to be tremendously popular with boys.

What are boys seeing and hearing when they watch these programs? What kinds of values concerning gender, race, aggression, violence, and consumerism are boys exposed to when they watch their favorite televised sports programs, with their accompanying commercials? This article, based on a textual analysis, presents the argument that televised sports, and their accompanying commercials, consistently present boys with a narrow portrait of masculinity, which we call the Televised Sports Manhood Formula.

SAMPLE AND METHOD

We analyzed a range of televised sports that were identified by the AAF study as those programs most often watched by boys. Most of the
programs in our sample aired during a single week, May 23-29, 1999, with one exception. Because pro football is not in season in May, we acquired tapes of two randomly chosen National Football League (NFL) Monday Night Football games from the previous season to include in our sample. We analyzed televised coverage, including commercials and pregame, halftime, and postgame shows (when appropriate), for the following programs:

1. two broadcasts of SportsCenter on ESPN (2 hours of programming);
2. two broadcasts of Extreme sports, one on ESPN and one on Fox Sports West (approximately 90 minutes of programming);
3. two broadcasts of professional wrestling, including Monday Night Nitro on TNT and WWF Superstars on USA (approximately 2 hours of programming);
4. two broadcasts of National Basketball Association (NBA) play-off games, one on TNT and the other on NBC (approximately 7 hours of programming);
5. two broadcasts of NFL Monday Night Football on ABC (approximately 7 hours of programming); and
6. one broadcast of Major League Baseball (MLB) on TBS (approximately 3 hours of programming).

We conducted a textual analysis of the sports programming and the commercials. In all, we examined about 23 hours of sports programming, nearly one quarter of which was time taken up by commercials. We examined a total of 722 commercials, which spanned a large range of products and services. We collected both quantitative and qualitative data. Although we began with some sensitizing concepts that we knew we wanted to explore (e.g., themes of violence, images of gender and race, etc.), rather than starting with preset categories we used an inductive method that allowed the dominant themes to emerge from our reading of the tapes.

Each taped show was given a first reading by one of the investigators, who then constructed a preliminary analysis of the data. The tape was then given a second reading by another of the investigators. This second independent reading was then used to modify and sharpen the first reading. Data analysis proceeded along the lines of the categories that emerged in the data collection. The analyses of each separate sport were then put into play with each other and common themes and patterns were identified. In one case, the dramatic pseudosport of professional wrestling, we determined that much of the programming was different enough that it made little sense to directly compare it with the other sports shows; therefore, we only included data on wrestling in our comparisons when it seemed to make sense to do so.

DOMINANT THEMES IN TELEVISED SPORTS

Our analysis revealed that sports programming presents boys with narrow and stereotypical messages about race, gender, and violence. We identified 10 distinct themes that, together, make up the Televised Sports Manhood Formula.
WHITE MALES ARE THE VOICES OF AUTHORITY

Although one of the two SportsCenter segments in the sample did feature a White woman coanchor, the play-by-play and ongoing color commentary in NFL, wrestling, NBA, Extreme sports, and MLB broadcasts were conducted exclusively by White, male play-by-play commentators.

With the exception of SportsCenter, women and Blacks never appeared as the main voices of authority in the booth conducting play-by-play or ongoing color commentary. The NFL broadcasts occasionally cut to field-level color commentary by a White woman but her commentary was very brief (about 3 ½ minutes of the nearly 3 hours of actual game and pregame commentary). Similarly, one of the NBA broadcasts used a Black man for occasional on-court analysis and a Black man for pregame and halftime analysis, whereas the other NBA game used a White woman as host in the pregame show and a Black woman for occasional on-court analysis. Although viewers commonly see Black male athletes—especially on televised NBA games—they rarely hear or see Black men or women as voices of authority in the broadcast booth (Sabo & Jansen, 1994). In fact, the only Black commentators that appeared on the NBA shows that we examined were former star basketball players (Cheryl Miller, Doc Rivers, and Isaiah Thomas). A Black male briefly appeared to welcome the audience to open one of the Extreme sports shows but he did not do any play-by-play; in fact, he was used only to open the show with a stylish, street, hip-hop style for what turned out to be an almost totally White show.

SPORTS IS A MAN’S WORLD

Images or discussion of women athletes is almost entirely absent in the sports programs that boys watch most. SportsCenter’s mere 2.9% of news time devoted to women’s sports is slightly lower than the 5% to 6% of women’s sports coverage commonly found in other sports news studies (Duncan & Messner, 1998). In addition, SportsCenter’s rare discussion of a women’s sport seemed to follow men’s in newsworthiness (e.g., a report on a Professional Golfers’ Association [PGA] tournament was followed by a more brief report on a Ladies Professional Golf Association [LPGA] tournament). The baseball, basketball, wrestling, and football programs we watched were men’s contests so could not perhaps have been expected to cover or mention women athletes. However, Extreme sports are commonly viewed as “alter-

### TABLE 1
Race and Sex of Announcers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race and Sex of Announcers</th>
<th>White Men</th>
<th>White Women</th>
<th>Black Men</th>
<th>Black Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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native” or “emerging” sports in which women are challenging masculine hegemony (Wheaton & Tomlinson, 1998). Despite this, the Extreme sports shows we watched devoted only a single 50-second interview segment to a woman athlete. This segment constituted about 1% of the total Extreme sports programming and, significantly, did not show this woman athlete in action. Perhaps this limited coverage of women athletes on the Extreme sports shows we examined is evidence of what Rinehart (1998) calls a “pecking order” in alternative sports, which develops when new sports are appropriated and commodified by the media.

MEN ARE FOREGROUNDED IN COMMERCIALS

The idea that sports is a man’s world is reinforced by the gender composition and imagery in commercials. Women almost never appear in commercials unless they are in the company of men, as Table 2 shows.

That 38.6% of all commercials portray only men actually understates the extent to which men dominate these commercials for two reasons. First, nearly every one of the 91 commercials that portrayed no visual portrayals of people included a male voice-over. When we include this number, we see that more than 50% of commercials provide men-only images and/or voice-overs, whereas only 3.9% portray only women. Moreover, when we combine men-only and women and men categories, we see that men are visible in 83.5% of all commercials and men are present (when we add in the commercials with male voice-overs) in 96.1% of all commercials. Second, in the commercials that portray both women and men, women are often (although not exclusively) portrayed in stereotypical, and often very minor, background roles.

WOMEN ARE SEXY PROPS OR PRIZES FOR MEN’S SUCCESSFUL SPORT PERFORMANCES OR CONSUMPTION CHOICES

Although women were mostly absent from sports commentary, when they did appear it was most often in stereotypical roles as sexy, masculinity-validating props, often cheering the men on. For instance, “X-sports” on Fox Sports West used a bikini-clad blonde woman as a hostess to welcome viewers back after each commercial break as the camera moved provocatively over her body. Although she mentioned the show’s sponsors, she did not narrate the actual sporting event. The wrestling shows generously used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex Composition of 722 Commercials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279 (38.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
scantily clad women (e.g., in pink miniskirts or tight Spandex and high
heels) who overtly displayed the dominant cultural signs of heterosexu-
ality attractiveness to escort the male wrestlers to the ring, often with announc-
ers discussing the women’s provocative physical appearances. Women also
appeared in the wrestling shows as sexually provocative dancers (e.g., the
“Gorgeous Nitro Girls” on TNT).

In commercials, women are numerically more evident, and generally
depicted in more varied roles, than in the sports programming. Still, women
are underrepresented and rarely appear in commercials unless they are in
the company of men. Moreover, as Table 3 illustrates, the commercials’ com-
mon depiction of women as sexual objects and as “prizes” for men’s success-
ful consumption choices articulates with the sports programs’ presentation
of women primarily as sexualized, supportive props for men’s athletic per-
formances. For instance, a commercial for Keystone Light Beer that ran on
SportsCenter depicted two White men at a baseball game. When one of the
men appeared on the stadium big screen and made an ugly face after drink-
ing an apparently bitter beer, women appeared to be grossed out by him. But
then he drank a Keystone Light and reappeared on the big screen looking
good with two young, conventionally beautiful (fashion-model-like) women
adoring him. He says, “I hope my wife’s not watching!” as the two women flirt
with the camera.

As Table 3 shows, in 23 hours of sports programming, viewers were
exposed to 58 incidents of women being portrayed as sexy props or sexual
prizes for men’s successful athletic performances or correct consumption
choices. Put another way, a televised sports viewer is exposed to this mes-
 sage, either in commercials or in the sports program itself, on an average of
twice an hour. The significance of this narrow image of women as
heterosexualized commodities should be considered especially in light of the
overall absence of a wider range of images of women, especially as athletes
(Duncan & Messner, 1998; Kane & Lenskyj, 1998).

WHITES ARE FOREGOUNDED IN COMMERICALS

The racial composition of the commercials is, if anything, more narrow
and limited than the gender composition. As Table 4 shows, Black, Latino, or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SportsCenter</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
<th>Wrestling</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercials</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: NBA = National Basketball Association, MLB = Major League Baseball, and
NFL = National Football League.
Asian American people almost never appear in commercials unless the commercial also has White people in it (the multiracial category below).

To say that 52.2% of the commercials portrayed only Whites actually understates the extent to which images of White people dominated the commercials for two reasons. First, if we subtract the 91 commercials that showed no actual people, then we see that the proportion of commercials that actually showed people was 59.7% White only. Second, when we examine the quality of the portrayals of Blacks, Latinos, and Asian Americans in the multiracial commercials, we see that people of color are far more often than not relegated to minor roles, literally in the background of scenes that feature Whites, and/or they are relegated to stereotypical or negative roles. For instance, a Wendy’s commercial that appeared on several of the sports programs in our sample showed White customers enjoying a sandwich with the White owner while a barely perceptible Black male walked by in the background.

AGGRESSIVE PLAYERS GET THE PRIZE; NICE GUYS FINISH LAST

As Table 5 illustrates, viewers are continually immersed in images and commentary about the positive rewards that come to the most aggressive competitors and of the negative consequences of playing “soft” and lacking aggression.

Commentators consistently lauded athletes who most successfully employed physical and aggressive play and toughness. For instance, after having his toughness called into question, NBA player Brian Grant was awarded redemption by SportsCenter because he showed that he is “not

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4</th>
<th>Racial Composition of 722 Commercials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Only</td>
<td>Black Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377 (52.2%)</td>
<td>28 (3.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 5</th>
<th>Statements Lauding Aggression or Criticizing Lack of Aggression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SportsCenter</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

afraid to take it to Karl Malone.” *SportsCenter* also informed viewers that “the aggressor usually gets the calls [from the officials] and the Spurs were the ones getting them.” In pro wrestling commentary, this is a constant theme (and was therefore not included in our tallies for Table 5 because the theme permeated the commentary, overtly and covertly). The World Wrestling Federation (WWF) announcers praised the “raw power” of wrestler “Shamrock” and approvingly dubbed “Hardcore Holly” as “the world’s most dangerous man.” NBA commentators suggested that it is okay to be a good guy off the court but one must be tough and aggressive on the court: Brian Grant and Jeff Hornacek are “true gentlemen of the NBA . . . as long as you don’t have to play against them. You know they’re great off the court; on the court, every single guy out there *should* be a killer.”

When players were not doing well, they were often described as “hesitant” and lacking aggression, emotion, and desire (e.g., for a loose ball or rebound). For instance, commentators lamented that “the Jazz aren’t going to the hoop, they’re being pushed and shoved around,” that Utah was responding to the Blazers’ aggression “passively, in a reactive mode,” and that “Utah’s got to get Karl Malone toughened up.” *SportsCenter* echoed this theme, opening one show with a depiction of Horace Grant elbowing Karl Malone and asking of Malone, “Is he feeble?” Similarly, NFL broadcasters waxed on about the virtues of aggression and domination. Big “hits”; ball carriers who got “buried,” “stuffed,” or “walloped” by the defense; and players who get “cleaned out” or “wiped out” by a blocker were often shown on replays, with announcers enthusiastically describing the plays. By contrast, they clearly declared that it is a very bad thing to be passive and to let yourself get pushed around and dominated at the line of scrimmage. Announcers also approvingly noted that going after an opposing player’s injured body part is just smart strategy: In one NFL game, the Miami strategy to blitz the opposing quarterback was lauded as “brilliant”—“When you know your opposing quarterback is a bit nicked and something is wrong, Boomer, you got to come after him.”

Previous research has pointed to this heroic framing of the male body-as-weapon as a key element in sports’ role in the social construction of narrow conceptions of masculinity (Messner, 1992; Trujillo, 1995).

This injunction for boys and men to be aggressive, not passive, is reinforced in commercials, where a common formula is to play on the insecurities of young males (e.g., that they are not strong enough, tough enough, smart enough, rich enough, attractive enough, decisive enough, etc.) and then attempt to convince them to avoid, overcome, or mask their fears, embarrassments, and apparent shortcomings by buying a particular product. These commercials often portray men as potential or actual geeks, nerds, or passive schmucks who can overcome their geekiness (or avoid being a geek like the guy in the commercial) by becoming decisive and purchasing a particular product.
BOYS WILL BE (VIOLENT) BOYS

Announcers often took a humorous “boys will be boys” attitude in discussing fights or near-fights during contests, and they also commonly used a recent fight, altercation, or disagreement between two players as a “teaser” to build audience excitement.

Fights, near-fights, threats of fights, or other violent actions were overemphasized in sports coverage and often verbally framed in sarcastic language that suggested that this kind of action, although reprehensible, is to be expected. For instance, as SportsCenter showed NBA centers Robinson and O’Neill exchanging forearm shoves, the commentators said, simply, “much love.” Similarly, in an NFL game, a brief scuffle between players is met with a sarcastic comment by the broadcaster that the players are simply “making their acquaintance.” This is, of course, a constant theme in pro wrestling (which, again, we found impossible and less than meaningful to count because this theme permeates the show). We found it noteworthy that the supposedly spontaneous fights outside the wrestling ring (what we call unofficial fights) were given more coverage time and focus than the supposedly official fights inside the ring. We speculate that wrestling producers know that viewers already watch fights inside the ring with some skepticism as to their authenticity so they stage the unofficial fights outside the ring to bring a feeling of spontaneity and authenticity to the show and to build excitement and a sense of anticipation for the fight that will later occur inside the ring.

GIVE UP YOUR BODY FOR THE TEAM

Athletes who are “playing with pain,” “giving up their body for the team,” or engaging in obviously highly dangerous plays or maneuvers were consistently framed as heroes; conversely, those who removed themselves from games due to injuries had questions raised about their character, their manhood.

This theme cut across all sports programming. For instance, SportsCenter asked, “Could the dominator be soft?” when a National Hockey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SportsCenter</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 7
Comments on the Heroic Nature of Playing Hurt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SportsCenter</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


League (NHL) star goalie decided to sit out a game due to a groin injury. Heroically taking risks while already hurt was a constant theme in Extreme sports commentary. For instance, one bike competitor was lauded for “overcoming his fear” and competing “with a busted up ankle” and another was applauded when he “popped his collarbone out in the street finals in Louisville but he’s back on his bike here in Richmond, just 2 weeks later!” Athletes appear especially heroic when they go against doctors’ wishes not to compete. For instance, an X Games interviewer adoringly told a competitor, “Doctors said don’t ride but you went ahead and did it anyway and escaped serious injury.” Similarly, NBA player Isaiah Rider was lauded for having “heart” for “playing with that knee injury.” Injury discussions in NFL games often include speculation about whether the player will be able to return to this or future games. A focus on a star player in a pregame or halftime show, such as the feature on 49ers’ Garrison Hearst, often contain commentary about heroic overcoming of serious injuries (in this case, a knee blowout, reconstructive surgery, and rehabilitation). As one game began, commentators noted that 37-year-old “Steve Young has remained a rock...not bad for a guy who a lotta people figured was, what, one big hit from ending his career.” It’s especially impressive when an injured player is able and willing to continue to play with aggressiveness and reckless abandon: “Kurt Scrafford at right guard—bad neck and all—is just out there wiping out guys.” And announcers love the team leader who plays hurt:

Drew Bledso gamey tried to play in loss to Rams yesterday; really admirable to try to play with that pin that was surgically implanted in his finger during the week; I don’t know how a Q.B. could do that. You know, he broke his finger the time we had him on Monday night and he led his team to two come-from-behind victories, really gutted it out and I think he took that team on his shoulders and showed he could play and really elevated himself in my eyes, he really did.

SPORTS IS WAR

Commentators consistently (an average of nearly five times during each hour of sports commentary) used martial metaphors and language of war and weaponry to describe sports action (e.g., battle, kill, ammunition, weapons, professional sniper, depth charges, taking aim, fighting, shot in his arsenal, reloading, detonate, squeezes the trigger, attack mode, firing blanks, blast, explosion, blitz, point of attack, a lance through the heart, etc.).
Some shows went beyond commentators’ use of war terminology and actually framed the contests as wars. For instance, one of the wrestling shows offered a continual flow of images and commentary that reminded the viewers that “RAW is WAR!” Similarly, both NFL Monday Night Football broadcasts were introduced with explosive graphics and an opening song that included lyrics “Like a rocket burning through time and space, the NFL’s best will rock this place... the battle lines are drawn.” This sort of use of sport/war metaphors has been a common practice in televised sports commentary for many years, serving to fuse (and confuse) the distinctions between values of nationalism with team identity and athletic aggression with military destruction (Jansen & Sabo, 1994). In the shows examined for this study, war themes also were reinforced in many commercials, including commercials for movies, other sports programs, and in the occasional commercial for the U.S. military.

SHOW SOME GUTS!

Commentators continually depicted and replayed exciting incidents of athletes engaging in reckless acts of speed, showing guts in the face of danger, big hits, and violent crashes.

This theme was evident across all of the sports programs but was especially predominant in Extreme sports that continually depicted crashing vehicles or bikers in an exciting manner. For instance, when one race ended with a crash, it was showed again in slow-motion replay, with commentators approvingly dubbing it “unbelievable” and “original.” Extreme sports commentators commonly raised excitement levels by saying “he’s on fire” or “he’s going huge!” when a competitor was obviously taking greater risks. An athlete’s ability to deal with the fear of a possible crash, in fact, is the mark of

### TABLE 8
*Martial Metaphors and Language of War and Weaponry*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SportsCenter</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
<th>Wrestling</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: NBA = National Basketball Association, MLB = Major League Baseball, and NFL = National Football League.*

### TABLE 9
*Depictions of Guts in Face of Danger, Speed, Hits, Crashes*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SportsCenter</th>
<th>Extreme</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: NBA = National Basketball Association, MLB = Major League Baseball, and NFL = National Football League.*
an “outstanding run”: “Watch out, Richmond,” an X-games announcer shouted to the crowd, “He’s gonna wreck this place!” A winning competitor laughingly said, “I do what I can to smash into [my opponents] as much as I can.” Another competitor said, “If I crash, no big deal; I’m just gonna go for it.” NFL commentators introduced the games with images of reckless collisions and during the game a “fearless” player was likely to be applauded: “There’s no chance that Barry Sanders won’t take when he’s running the football.” In another game, the announcer noted that receiver “Tony Simmons plays big. And for those of you not in the NFL, playing big means you’re not afraid to go across the middle and catch the ball and make a play out of it after you catch the ball.” Men showing guts in the face of speed and danger was also a major theme in 40 of the commercials that we analyzed.

THE TELEVISION SPORTS MANHOOD FORMULA

Tens of millions of U.S. boys watch televised sports programs, with their accompanying commercial advertisements. This study sheds light on what these boys are seeing when they watch their favorite sports programs. What values and ideas about gender, race, aggression, and violence are being promoted? Although there are certainly differences across different kinds of sports, as well as across different commercials, when we looked at all of the programming together, we identified 10 recurrent themes, which we have outlined above. Taken together, these themes codify a consistent and (mostly) coherent message about what it means to be a man. We call this message the Televised Sports Manhood Formula:

What is a Real Man? A Real Man is strong, tough, aggressive, and above all, a winner in what is still a Man’s World. To be a winner he has to do what needs to be done. He must be willing to compromise his own long-term health by showing guts in the face of danger, by fighting other men when necessary, and by “playing hurt” when he’s injured. He must avoid being soft; he must be the aggressor, both on the “battle fields” of sports and in his consumption choices. Whether he is playing sports or making choices about which snack food or auto products to purchase, his aggressiveness will net him the ultimate prize: the adoring attention of conventionally beautiful women. He will know if and when he has arrived as a Real Man when the Voices of Authority—White Males—say he is a Real Man. But even when he has finally managed to win the big one, has the good car, the right beer, and is surrounded by beautiful women, he will be reminded by these very same Voices of Authority just how fragile this Real Manhood really is: After all, he has to come out and prove himself all over again tomorrow. You’re only as good as your last game (or your last purchase).

The major elements of the Televised Sports Manhood Formula are evident, in varying degrees, in the football, basketball, baseball, Extreme sports, and SportsCenter programs and in their accompanying commercials. But it is in the dramatic spectacle of professional wrestling that the Televised Sports Manhood Formula is most clearly codified and presented to audiences as an almost seamless package. Boys and young men are drawn to televised professional wrestling in great numbers. Consistently each week,
from four to six pro wrestling shows rank among the top 10 rated shows on
cable television. Professional wrestling is not a real sport in the way that
baseball, basketball, football, or even Extreme sports are. In fact, it is a
highly stylized and choreographed “sport as theatre” form of entertainment.
Its producers have condensed—and then amplified—all of the themes that
make up the Televised Sports Manhood Formula. For instance, where vio-
lence represents a thread in the football or basketball commentary, violence
makes up the entire fabric of the theatrical narrative of televised pro wres-
tling. In short, professional wrestling presents viewers with a steady stream
of images and commentary that represents a constant fusion of all of the
themes that make up the Televised Sports Manhood Formula: This is a cho-
reographed sport where all men (except losers) are Real Men, where women
are present as sexy support objects for the men’s violent, monumental
“wars” against each other. Winners bravely display muscular strength,
speed, power, and guts. Bodily harm is (supposedly) intentionally inflicted
on opponents. The most ruthlessly aggressive men win, whereas the passive
or weaker men lose, often shamefully. Heroically wrestling while injured,
rehabilitating oneself from former injuries, and inflicting pain and injury on
one’s opponent are constant and central themes in the narrative.

GENDER AND THE SPORTS/MEDIA/COMMERCIAL COMPLEX

In 1984, media scholar Sut Jhally pointed to the commercial and ideo-
logical symbiosis between the institutions of sport and the mass media and
called it the sports/media complex. Our examination of the ways that the
Televised Sports Manhood Formula reflects and promotes hegemonic ideol-
ogies concerning race, gender, sexuality, aggression, violence, and consumer-
ism suggests adding a third dimension to Jhally’s analysis: the huge net-
work of multi-billion-dollar automobile, snack food, alcohol, entertainment,
and other corporate entities that sponsor sports events and broadcasts. In
fact, examining the ways that the Televised Sports Manhood Formula cuts
across sports programming and its accompanying commercials may provide
important clues as to the ways that ideologies of hegemonic masculinity are
both promoted by—and in turn serve to support and stabilize—this collec-
tion of interrelated institutions that make up the sports/media/commercial
complex. The Televised Sports Manhood Formula is a master discourse that
is produced at the nexus of the institutions of sport, mass media, and corpo-
rations who produce and hope to sell products and services to boys and men.
As such, the Televised Sports Manhood Formula appears well suited to disci-
pline boys’ bodies, minds, and consumption choices within an ideological
field that is conducive to the reproduction of the entrenched interests that
profit from the sports/media/commercial complex. The perpetuation of the
entrenched commercial interests of the sports/media/commercial complex
appears to be predicated on boys accepting—indeed glorifying and cele-
brating—a set of bodily and relational practices that resist and oppose a
view of women as fully human and place boys’ and men’s long-term health
prospects in jeopardy.
At a historical moment when hegemonic masculinity has been destabilized by socioeconomic change, and by women’s and gay liberation movements, the Televised Sports Manhood Formula provides a remarkably stable and concrete view of masculinity as grounded in bravery, risk taking, violence, bodily strength, and heterosexuality. And this view of masculinity is given coherence against views of women as sexual support objects or as invisible and thus irrelevant to men’s public struggles for glory. Yet, perhaps to be successful in selling products, the commercials sometimes provide a less than seamless view of masculinity. The insecurities of masculinity in crisis are often tweaked in the commercials, as we see weak men, dumb men, and indecisive men being eclipsed by strong, smart, and decisive men and sometimes being humiliated by smarter and more decisive women. In short, this commercialized version of hegemonic masculinity is constructed partly in relation to images of men who don’t measure up.

This analysis gives us hints at an answer to the commonly asked question of why so many boys and men continue to take seemingly irrational risks, submit to pain and injury, and risk long-term debility or even death by playing hurt. A critical examination of the Televised Sports Manhood Formula tells us why: The costs of masculinity (especially pain and injury), according to this formula, appear to be well worth the price; the boys and men who are willing to pay the price always seem to get the glory, the championships, the best consumer products, and the beautiful women. Those who don’t—or can’t—pay the price are humiliated or ignored by women and left in the dust by other men. In short, the Televised Sports Manhood Formula is a pedagogy through which boys are taught that paying the price, be it one’s bodily health or one’s money, gives one access to the privileges that have been historically linked to hegemonic masculinity—money, power, glory, and women. And the barrage of images of femininity as model-like beauty displayed for and in the service of successful men suggest that heterosexuality is a major lynchpin of the Televised Sports Manhood Formula, and on a larger scale serves as one of the major linking factors in the conservative gender regime of the sports/media/commercial complex.

On the other hand, we must be cautious in coming to definitive conclusions as to how the promotion of the values embedded in the Televised Sports Manhood Formula might fit into the worlds of young men. It is not possible, based merely on our textual analysis of sports programs, to explicate precisely what kind of impact these shows, and the Televised Sports Manhood Formula, have on their young male audiences. That sort of question is best approached through direct research with audiences. Most such research finds that audiences interpret, use, and draw meanings from media variously, based on factors such as social class, race/ethnicity, and gender (Hunt, 1999; Whannel, 1998). Research with various subgroups of boys that explores their interpretations of the sports programs that they watch would enhance and broaden this study.

Moreover, it is important to go beyond the preferred reading presented here that emphasizes the persistent themes in televised sports that appear
to reinforce the hegemony of current race, gender, and commercial relations (Sabo & Jansen, 1992). In addition to these continuities, there are some identifiable discontinuities within and between the various sports programs and within and among the accompanying commercials. For instance, commercials are far more varied in the ways they present gender imagery than are sports programs themselves. Although the dominant tendency in commercials is either to erase women or to present them as stereotypical support or sex objects, a significant minority of commercials present themes that set up boys and men as insecure and/or obnoxious schmucks and women as secure, knowledgeable, and authoritative. Audience research with boys who watch sports would shed fascinating light on how they decode and interpret these more complex, mixed, and paradoxical gender images against the dominant, hegemonic image of the Televised Sports Manhood Formula.

NOTES

1. There are some differences, and some similarities, in what boys and girls prefer to watch. The top seven televised sports reported by girls are, in order, gymnastics, men’s pro basketball, pro football, pro baseball, swimming/diving, men’s college basketball, and women’s pro or college basketball.

2. Although images of feminine beauty shift, change, and are contested throughout history, female beauty is presented in sports programming and commercials in narrow ways. Attractive women look like fashion models (Banet-Weiser, 1999): They are tall, thin, young, usually (although not always) White, with signs of heterosexual femininity encoded and overtly displayed through hair, makeup, sexually provocative facial and bodily gestures, large (often partially exposed) breasts, long (often exposed) legs, and so forth.
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